

### REMARKS

1. Reconsideration and further prosecution of the above-identified application are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and discussion that follows. Claims 1-58 are pending in this application.

Claims 1-58 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,341,466 to Perlin et al. After a careful review of the claims (as amended), it has been concluded that the rejections are in error and the rejections are, therefore, traversed.

2. Claims 1-58 have been rejected as being anticipated by Perlin et al. In response, independent claims 1 has been further limited to the context "wherein the extracted information is free flowing which means sentences and paragraphs of the extracted information flow without interruption in the second window and any line break of the extracted information is handled dynamically depending upon a column width of the second window". Independent claims 44, 57 and 58 contain similar limitations. Support for the additional limitations may be found in numerous places within the specification (e.g., page 10).


In contrast to the invention as now claimed, Perlin et al. is directed to a system wherein "A user can magnify or reduce the displayed information by "zooming" in or out" (Perlin et al., Abstract, lines 3-5). Perlin et al. explicitly states with regard to the zooming process that "The first image in the sequence is a bit-mapped representation of an object as it was created, and each subsequent image is formed by replacing a 2X2 square of pixels of the previous image with one pixel" (Perlin et al., col. 6, lines 60-64). Since Perlin et al. uses bit-

mapping, the use of the Perlin et al. portals would inherently result in cropping of the image along its edges, as show in the portal 4 of FIG. 5 of Perlin et al.

Since Perlin et al. uses bit-mapping, there is no method step of (or apparatus for) "presenting the extracted information in a second window wherein the extracted information is free flowing which means sentences and paragraphs of the extracted information flow without interruption in the second window and any line breaks of the extracted information is handled dynamically depending upon a column width of the second window". Since Perlin et al does not teach this method step, or the apparatus for performing this method step, Perlin et al. does not do the same or any similar thing as that of the claimed invention. Since Perlin et al. does not do the same thing, the rejection is believed to be improper and should be withdrawn.

3. Allowance of claims 1-58, as now presented, is believed to be in order and such action is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner be of the opinion that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of the subject application, he is respectfully requested to telephone applicant's undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,  
WELSH & KATZ, LTD.

By   
Jon R. Christensen

Registration No. 34,137

October 26, 2004  
WELSH & KATZ, LTD.  
120 South Riverside Plaza  
22nd Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 655-1500